

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/551,676 | 09/29/2005 | Alexander Koppl | LU 6096 (US) | 5583 |
| 24114 LyondellBasel | 7590 07/13/2009 Il Industries | EXAMINER | | |
| 3801 WEST C | HESTER PIKE | HARLAN, ROBERT D | | |
| NEWTOWN : | SQUARE, PA 19073 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/551,676 | KOPPL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Robert D. Harlan | 1796 | | |

| | Robert D. Harlan | 1796 | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following I application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period oxide valued sr OFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 11 San attached Nation of Nan Co. | mpliant Amandment (| DTOL 224) | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | Inpliant Americinent (| F TOL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | • | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | I be entered and an e. | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but First. the Coalter. US 2008/0142152 reference has an efapplication is DE 10315349.7 filed on 04/03/2003. The Intelallocene can be employed in the start-up process in reference is consistent with teaching the limitations of the control of the | fective filing date of 03/21/2003. T Examiner disagree with the Applica a gas phase fluidized bed. The Ex | he earliest filing date nt's arguments. Herz | of the current og states that a | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | |

/Robert D. Harlan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1796